236U in well water - a tool for uranium prospection?

P. Steier1, J. John2, Mojmír ^Němec2, M. Srncik3, G. Wallner3

1VERA Laboratory, Faculty of Physics – Isotope Research, University of Vienna, Währingerstr. 17, A-1090 Vienna, Austria 2Centre for Radiochemistry and Radiation Chemistry/ Dept. Of Nuclear Chemistry, Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Břehová 7, 11519 Prague, Czech Republic 3Department of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Vienna, Währingerstr. 42, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

Abtract

The equilibrium ratio of 236 U/U in natural ore is proportional to the thermal neutron flux, which is expected to be proportional to the uranium concentration in first approximation. While uranium in typical crustal rocks is expected to be as low as 10^{-14} , uranium ores show 236 U/U up to 10⁻⁹. Since this fingerprint of high grade ore should stay unaltered in withering and dissolution, it should still be detectable in well water which was in subsurface contact with the ore; thus, such wells should be useful as natural probes for uranium prospection. This isotopic signature to be more unambiguous than the uranium concentration in water.

A known highest grade ore deposit was located in Jáchymov, Czech Republic. The ore grade mined at the beginning of the 20th century was typically 60% $U₃O₈$ [Mayer1950], while today often ores in the permil-range are mined. It is mainly depleted now, but is a perfect test case to investigate whether high grade ore is indicated by high 236 U/U concentration in well water in the vicinity. Thus, the Jáchymov region could be a perfect test case to study 236 U in well water as a tool for uranium prospection.

The challenge of this project is the measurement of the very low natural ²³⁶U/U ratio and the possible huge amount of anthropogenic 236 U already dispersed to the environment. Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS) is the only detection technique suitable for the low natural isotopic abundance of 236 U. Large water volumes which were not yet contaminated must be processed, without introducing contamination in the laboratory.

Introduction

Uranium, the heaviest naturally occurring element in the earth's crust, is of special importance for the production of nuclear power. Despite it is relatively abundant and ubiquitous in many minerals at low concentrations (typically a few µg/g), deposits with economically exploitable concentrations are rare. At the end of 2006, world uranium production (39 603 tU) provided only about 60% of world reactor requirements (66 500 tU), with the remainder supplied from of already mines uranium [RedBook2008]. To meet the still growing demand will depend on also on the identification of new deposits.

 236 U with a half-life of 2.3 10⁷ a is produced via thermal neutron capture on 235 U. The cross section $\{\sigma[^{235}U(n,\gamma)] = 98$ barn} is about 1/6 of the fission cross section { σ ²³⁵U(n,f)] = 583 barn}. Thus, the ratio ²³⁶U/²³⁵U is a direct measure for the subsurface neutron flux, integrated over geological time frames [Purser1996].

Natural neutron production results mainly from (^α,n) reactions on lighter nuclides [Fabryka-Martin1988]. The α -particles originate from the decay series of uranium and Thorium. Spontaneous fission of ^{238}U , and induced fission of ^{235}U and, in the top few meters of the earth's surface, cosmic rays contribute to neutron

production. The important role of uranium in natural subsurface neutron production suggests that a higher concentration of uranium will be correlated with higher neutron flux, and thus higher 236 U/ 235 U (since the natural ration of $235U/238U$ is constant within the precision relevant for our proposal, we can speak of the 236 U/U ratio in the following instead). 236 U/U isotopic ratios have been measured previously in several uranium ores ([Zhao1994], [Richter1999], [Berkovits2000], [Wilcken2007], [Steier2008], [Wilcken2008]) and the obtained ratios range from 1.10⁻¹² to 1.10⁻⁹. For typical crustal rocks with a uranium and thorium content of only several ppm ratios between 1 $\cdot10^{-14}$ and 5 $\cdot10^{-14}$ are expected [Steier2008], but no measurements exist so far.

Uranium can be released during rock weathering and so a total flux of $0.88 \cdot 10^{10}$ g U a^{-1} is transported by rivers and groundwater aquifers to the oceans [Borole1982]. The average natural ratio in river water should lie between 1·10-¹⁴ and $1 \cdot 10^{-13}$ [Steier2008]. Up to now, only one result from a subsurface well (from the "Gasteiner Heilstollen", Bad Gastein, Austria) was published so far with an isotopic ratio of 236 U/U = 6.10⁻¹².

Today a huge amount of anthropogenic 236 U exists as it is produced as a byproduct in nuclear reactors. A total anthropogenic inventory of 10⁶ kg ²³⁶U is estimated [Steier2008]. Despite 236 U is probably the isotope with the second largest anthropogenic inventory (after ²³⁹Pu, [Steier2008]), measurements are hampered by its very low specific activity $(T_{1/2}=23 \text{ Ma})$, by the fact the energy of its alpha decay is obscured by the abundant 235 U, limiting the detection limit to

²³⁶U/U = 2×10^{-5} [Sanchez1992]. Conventional mass spectrometry is similarly limited by the neighboring abundant isotope 235 U. Environmental studies are restricted to the level above 1×10^{-7} , but this was sufficient to detect 236 U in shore sediments near the Irish sea (influenced by Sellafield [Marsden et al., 2001]). The AMS technique to detect ²³⁶U was developed only recently.

The amount of anthropogenic 236 U by far exceeds the natural inventory (some 30 kg, [Steier2008]). Whether and how far this anthropogenic contribution has entered the environment is unclear. 236 U/U ratios from 10^{-7} up to 10^{-3} have been measured in soils from the vicinity of the Chernobyl power plant ([Vance2006], [Mironov2002], [Hotchkis2000]), near two uranium processing factories in the USA [Mironov2002] and in sediments from the Irish Sea affected by the Sellafield (UK) nuclear reprocessing plant [Mironov2002],[Ketterer2003]. Close to a shut-down nuclear power plant, however, [Quinto2009] found levels (²³⁶U/U up to 10⁻⁸) close to their detection background (²³⁶U/U ~ 10⁻⁹).

Another important contribution to anthropogenic 236 U was created in aboveground nuclear explosions and globally distributed. [Ketterer2007] and [Sakaguchi2009] have determined the ratio 236 U/ 239 Pu to be approx. 0.2 in global \overline{f} fallout (239) Pu can be measured well by several methods and the global distribution of plutonium isotopes is fairly well known). Only a few measurements of the environmental distribution of anthropogenic ²³⁶U exist so far. [Srncik2010] have determined the abundance of 236 U in Austrian surface waters, far from known local anthropogenic sources, and observe 236 U/U of several times 10⁻⁷,

for some samples even significantly higher; on the other hand, several samples are still in agreement with their (relatively high) measurement background of 10 $^{\rm 8}$ atoms ²³⁶U. This and the low ratio found for the water from the "Gasteiner Heilstollen" suggest that one can still expect to find water not yet influenced by anthropogenic ²³⁶U.

Among others, the measurement of decay chain and fission products is an established method to locate new deposits. The concentration of uranium in wells is used in uranium prospection, and was recently investigated e.g. in [Mehra2007]. This concentration can depend on details of rock withering and the chemical conditions of the water, while the isotopic composition of uranium (and thus the ²³⁶U/U fingerprint) will not be altered by these processes.

Production of natural ²³⁶U (t_{1/2} = 23 Myr) in uranium ores

- Uranium ore deposits show enhanced natural neutron flux, mainly from α decay and (α, n) reactions on light target nuclei.
- This leads to enhanced ²³⁶U production by ²³⁵U(n, γ)

However, comprehensive geological expertize is needed for proper selection of the water samples and for interpretation of the measured isotopic ratios. Water samples should be protected from contamination by surface water, and, in the case of a positive 236 U detection, hydrology must provide the link to the location of the ore deposit. This is not only the case for future true applications in uranium prospection, but also for the feasibility study to be performed for Jachymov. Proper subsurface wells have to be identified, which carry water which was in contact with the ore body. The "Radon Spa" in Jachymov might fullfil these requirements.

Additionally, samples from several wells in various geological settings unaffected by the deposit are needed to serve as a "blank" control set. One suitable water from the "Heilstollen" in Bad Gastein, Austria, was already measured, with no known uranium ore in the vicinity. It yielded low 236 U/U = 5x10⁻¹², despite it carries the highest known uranium concentration in an Austrian well (70 ug/L).

Enhanced natural 236U/U in uranium ores

AMS measurement in uranium ores: 236 U/U = 7×10^{-11} (typ.)

The isotopic ratio ²³⁶U/U in ores is significantly higher than in "ambient" crustal uranium.

General crust: 236 U/U = 2×10^{-14} (est.)

Isotopic signal 236U/U in well water as probe for ore deposits

The 236U/U fingerprint of ores should be preserved in water from wells "probing" the (yet unknown) deposit and could be detected with the newly established measurement method by AMS.

Jáchymov is a good case for a feasibilty study

- Jáchymov produced highest grad ore
- The mine with the best studied ²³⁶U/U

Difficulties:

• Only recently, natural ²³⁶U can be measured with Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS).

- Anthropogenic contamination from global weapons-test fallout
- Most commercial reagents seem to be contaminated with 236 U
- Measurement of expected natural background $(^{236}$ U/U = 2 \times 10⁻¹⁴)

AMS is the only method able to reach the detection level required for expected natural concentrations of ²³⁶U/U. The Vienna Environmental research Accelerator is especially suited for this purpose and easily reachable from Prague. This opens the opportunity to be early in the field to investigate the geological applications of an ubiquitous, but up to now unexplored isotope.

 236 U, both natural and anthropogenic, will have many (also yet un-foreseeable) applications. We will study the feasibility to use 236 U/U in well waters as a tool for uranium prospection. Our fundamental research will lay the foundation for these far-reaching applications.

Methods

The determination of the isotope ratio of 236 U/ 238 U by AMS can be carried out at with at the AMS facility VERA at the Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna.

Water will be sampled in polyethylene bottles and canisters (1.5 L, 5 L and up to 25 L) from subsurface wells. The samples will be stabilized in the field with an appropriate amount of (pre-purified) conc. nitric acid. For the purification of the nitric acid (and also of hydrochloric acid used in later steps of the separation), we plan to use sub-boiling distillation, to remove any traces of uranium. ²³³U can be used to determine the uranium concentration in the samples directly in the AMS measurement.

Why AMS? - strengths and shortcomings of various detection techniques

Alpha-spectrometry will be used for the chemical yield determination of our sample procedure, as well as for the measurement of the 238 U and 234 U activity concentrations. The low 236 U activities expected in the environment cannot be measured by this method; also the possibility to measure higher ²³⁶U activities is limited since its a-energy is close to that of 235 U (obscured by the low-energy tail of 235 U [Sanchez1992]).

In ICP-MS (Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry), the samples are introduced as aerosol into an Ar plasma. The plasma can be operated at atmospheric pressure, and the source is coupled by means of differential pumping to the high vacuum of the mass spectrometer. A so-called nebulizer allows to introduce samples directly from solution, significantly simplifying sample preparation. Additionally, the complete ionization of the sample aerosols results in high ionization yields and low fractionation. The high temperature in the plasma leads to a relatively wide energy distribution and a large beam emittance, limiting 236 U abundance sensitivity by tailing from 235 U. This can be partly compensated by restricting the beam emittance with the object slits of the spectrometer, at the cost of transmission losses. The presence of hydrogen in the solution fosters also the formation of hydride ions, which can be however antagonized by drying the aerosol stream [Boulyga2006]. The abundance sensitivity achievable with ICP-MS is presently around 10⁻⁷.

In TIMS (Thermal Ionisation Mass Spectrometry), the samples are evaporated and thermally ionized from a glowing filament. Mass analysis is, similar to TIMS, done with a conventional mass spectrometer. The lower temperature compared to ICP-MS leads to a smaller energy distribution of the ions, and the absence of a carrier gas in this type of ion source allows a better vacuum in the spectrometer, resulting in less tailing from scattering on residual gas. TIMS has allowed to measure natural 236 U/U in samples from Gabon [Richter1999]. However, the relatively high ratios found there $(^{236}$ U/U > 10⁻⁹) are possibly already close to the detection limit.

AMS

uses by far the most complex instrumentation. A particle accelerator is used to accelerate the sample ions from the usual keV energies to several MeV. The socalled tandem accelerator used in almost all existing AMS facilities requires an ion source which produces negative ions. AMS facilities are optimized for abundance sensitivity in the range down to 10^{-18} , so a relatively large number of atoms of the abundant species must be put through the system (µg to mg) before sufficient counts of the rare species are collected. Both requirements can be fulfilled with the so called high-output caesium sputter source [Middleton1989], which is thus almost exclusively used in the field, despite known drawbacks like low ionization yield for many ion species and relatively large probability for formation of molecular ions. In the case of actinides, one disadvantage can partly compensate the other, since molecular UO⁻ ions are used instead of U⁻, which is not sufficiently formed. Still, the ionization yield for the actinides is below 1%, which is much lower than for the techniques described above. An advantage of the caesium sputter source is the narrow energy distribution, and the small beam emittance. The ion source is followed by a first sector-field mass spectrometer which (in the best case) eliminates all but the molecular isobars. The following acceleration helps to achieve the ultimate abundance sensitivity in the following ways:

(1) By passing the ions through a region of dilute gas, electrons are stripped off and thus molecules broken up. This process requires at least several 100 keV

for actinides [Wacker2005]. By these means, AMS gets rid of molecular isobars completely.

(2) The acceleration reduces the emittance of the beam. This allows for a higher resolution of the subsequent mass spectrometer(s).

(3) Most processes that produce background counts in the detector are based on interaction of the ions with residual gas (mainly electron-exchange processes). The corresponding cross-sections typically scale with negative exponents of the energy [Steier2005]. By these means, the background count rate can be essentially reduced to 0.

(4) The high energy allows to use the full range of detector systems developed for nuclear physics instead of only MCP (multi channel plate) detectors. This includes energy-resolving ionization chambers as well as time-of-flight (TOF). The energy measurement allows to distinguish between ions with the same mass-over-charge ratio (e.g. $^{235}U^{5+}$ from $^{94}Mo^{2+}$) which is not possible with electric and magnetic separators alone. Additionally, the large signals induced by the high energy in the detectors allow to use high thresholds for noise rejection, which leads to virtually dark-count free operation.

The VERA setup as used for actinide measurements is described in [Vockenhuber2003]. A caesium sputter source is used to extract negative ions. The yield of UO ions (on the order of $6 \cdot 10^{-4[2]}$) is the largest factor in the efficiency calculation. The low-energy mass spectrometer is tuned using 238 U¹⁶O⁻

as a pilot beam. To measure 236 U, the mass spectrometers are scaled to 236 $\mathsf{U}^{16}\mathrm{\hat{O}}$. Negative molecular isobars (namely $^{235}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{H}^\cdot$ and $^{238}\mathsf{U}^{14}\mathsf{N}^\cdot)$ are destroyed in a tandem accelerator with 3 MV terminal voltage and gas stripping. From the resultant positive ions one charge state is selected by the high-energy mass spectrometer. The stripping yield (and energies) achieved for the 5+ charge state investigated is 5 % for 5+ (18 MeV). A TOF detector with a flight path of 2.8 m, a time resolution of 0.7 ns (FWHM) for 238 U at 18 MeV and a transmission of 30% is used. Finally, the energy is measured with an ionization chamber.

References

- [Berkovits2000] D. Berkovits, H. Feldstein, S. Ghelberg, A. Hershkowitz, E. Navon, M. Paul: 236U in uranium minerals and standards, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B, 172, 2000, 372 – 376
- [Borole1982] D. V. Borole, S. Krishnaswami and B. L. K. Somayajulu: Uranium Isotopes in rivers, estuaries and adjacent coastal sediments of western India: their weathering, transport and oceanic budget, Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta Vol. 46, 1982, 125 – 137.
- [Boulyga2006] Sergei F. Boulyga, Klaus G. Heumann: Determination of extremely low ²³⁶U/²³⁸U isotope ratios in environmental samples by sector-field inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry using high-efficiency sample introduction, Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 88, 2006, 1 – 10
- [Fabryka-Martin1988] June Taylor Fabryka-Martin: Production of radionuclides in the earth and their hydrogeologic significance, with emphasis on chlorine-36 and iodine-129, dissertation, 1988.
- [Hotchkis2000] M. A. C. Hotchkis, D. Child, D. Fink, G. E. Jacobsen, P. J. Lee, N. Mino, A. M. Smith, C. Tuniz: Measurement of ²³⁶U in environmental media, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B, 172, 2000, 659 – 665.
- [Ketterer2003] M. E. Ketterer, K. M. Hafer, C. L. Link, C. S. Royden, W. J. Hartsock: Anthropogenic ²³⁶U at Rocky Flats, Ashtabula river harbor, and Mersey estuary: three case studies by sector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry, Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 67, 2003, 191 – 206.
- [Mayer1950] S. Meyer. Sitzungsberichte der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Abteilung IIa 159 (1950).
- [Marsden2001] Olivia J. Marsden, Francis R. Livens, J. Philip Day, L. Keith Fifield, Phillip S. Goodall: Determination of U-236 in sediment samples by accelerator mass spectrometry, The Analyst 126, 2001, 633 – 636
- [Mehra2007] R. Mehra, S. Singh, K. Singh, Uranium studies in water samples belonging to Malwa region of Punjab, using track etching technique, Radiation Measurements 42 (2007) 441 – 445.
- [Mironov2002] Vladislav P. Mironov, Janna L. Matusevich, Vladimir P. Kudrjashov, Sergei F. Boulyga and J. Sabine Becker: Determination of irradiated reactor uranium in soil samples in Belarus using ²³⁶U as irradiated uranium tracer, J. Environ. Monit., 4, 2002, 997 – 1002
- [Purser1996] K.H. Purser, L.R. Kilius, A.E. Litherland, X.L. Zhao, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B 113 (1996) 445.
- [Quinto2009] Francesca Quinto, Peter Steier, Gabriele Wallner, Anton Wallner, Michaela Srncik, Max Bichler, Walter Kutschera, Filippo Terrasi, Antonio Petraglia, Carlo Sabbarese. The first use of 236U in the general environment and near a shut down Nuclear Power Plant.Applied Radiation and Isotopes 67 (2009) 1775-1780.
- [RedBook2008] Uranium 2007: Resources, Production, and Demand ("Red Book"). A Joint report by the OECD Nuclear Energy Agency and the International Atomic Energy Agency 2008.
- [Richter1999] S. Richter, A. Alonso, W. De Bolle, R. Wellum, P.D.P. Taylor. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 193 (1999) 9.
- [Sakaguchi2009] A. Sakaguchi, K. Kawai, P. Steier, F. Quinto, K. Mino, J. Tomita, M. Hoshi, N. Whitehead, M. Yamamoto. First results on 236U levels in global fallout. Science of the Total Environment **407** (2009) 4238-4242.
- [Sanchez1992] A. Martín Sánchez, F. Vera Tomé, J. Díaz Bejarano and M. Jurado Vargas: A rapid method for determination of the isotopic composition of uranium samples by alpha spectrometry, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, Vol. 313, Issues 1 – 2, 1 March 1992, 219 – 226
- [Srncik2010] M. Srncik, P. Steier, G. Wallner. Determination of the isotopic ratio 236 U/ 238 U in Austrian water samples. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B 268 (2010) 1146–1149.
- [Steier2005] Peter Steier, Robin Golser, Vitaly Liechtenstein, Walter Kutschera, Alfred Priller, Christof Vockenhuber, Anton Wallner: Opportunities and limits of AMS with 3-MV tandem accelerators, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B 240, 2005, 445 – 451
- [Steier2008] Peter Steier, Max Bichler, L. Keith Fifield, Robin Golser, Walter Kutschera, Alfred Priller, Francesca Quinto, Stephan Richter, Michaela Srncik, Philippo Terrasi, Lukas Wacker, Anton Wallner, Gabriele Wallner, Klaus M. Wilcken, Eva Maria Wild: Natural and anthropogenic ²³⁶U in environmental samples, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B 266 (2008) 2246-2250.
- [Vance2006] R. Vance: What can 40 Years of Red Books Tell Us? Proceedings of the 31th WNA Annual Symposium, September 6th to 8th 2006, World Nuclear Association (2006), Accessed since September 15th, 2007 at http://www.world-nuclear.org/sym/2006/pdf/vance.pdf
- [Vockenhuber2003] Christof Vockenhuber, Irshad Ahmad, Robin Golser, Walter Kutschera, Vitaly Liechtenstein, Alfred Priller, Peter Steier, Stephan Winkler: Accelerator mass spectrometry of heavy long-lived radionuclides, International Journal of Mass Spectrometry 223 – 224, 2003, 713 – 732
- [Wacker2005] L. Wacker, E. Chamizo, L.K. Fifield, M. Stocker, M. Suter, H.A. Synal: Measurement of actinides on a compact AMS system working at 300 kV, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B 240, 2005, 452 – 457
- [Wilcken2007] K.M. Wilcken, T.T. Barrows, L.K. Fifeld, S.G. Tims, P. Steier, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B 259 (2007) 727.
- [Wilcken2008] K.M. Wilcken, L.K. Fifield, T.T. Barrows, S.G. Tims, L.G. Gladkis, Nucleogenic ³⁶Cl, ²³⁶U and ²³⁹Pu in uranium ores. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B 266 (2008) 3614–3624.
- [Zhao1994] X.-L. Zhao, M.-J. Nadeau, L.R. Kilius, A.E. Litherland, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B 92 (1994) 249.